

CMS CARBON MONITORING SYSTEM

Atmospheric flux

• Strengths of CMS stakeholder engagement efforts

- What is working well? What have you learned from stakeholders/scientists?
 - Interacting with governmental agencies that are developing bottom up inventories
 - Working with NGOs and industry to generate actionable data
 - Good progress making connections at the state level (Maryland, New York, California)
 - Huge change regarding energy/emissions is on the horizon, so very important to augment CMS data studies/production, including the need for continuous monitoring using top down approaches
 - On the CH₄ point source front, sharing plume imagery and source locations is facilitated with web portals like Methane Source Finder (transferred to Carbon Mapper and CARB for operational decision support)

Gaps in CMS Stakeholder membership

- Major stakeholders:
 - Public: NYSERDA, CGIAR (CCAFS), and others
 - Private: Unilever, GHGSat, Oil&gas companies, gas utilities, landfill operators, and many others
 - Regulatory: California Air Resources Board (CARB), U.S. State/National agencies, and others
- Missing stakeholders?/Who should we focus on engaging with in the next phase?:
 - International stakeholders

Challenges and barriers for effective stakeholder engagement

- There is not a unified strategy for communicating data and results with stakeholders (i.e. U.S. State Department, etc.)
- Need to address data gaps with stakeholders (i.e. needing consistent, annual data, regular updates)
- Stakeholders confused with uncertainties with data products (i.e. why can't we see methane emissions with TROPOMI from a given landfill or major metropolitan area of NYC)
- For methane retrievals, we need to provide fluxes and uncertainties in addition to concentrations
- Inconsistencies on accounting front (i.e. scientists versus EPA) and challenges in comparing inverse CO2 fluxes with EPA inventory
- Inventories often not produced in a way that allow for direct comparison of top down measurements
- Lack of required bio/fossil priors and covariances for methane and carbon dioxide for improving flux inversions (EPA is not mandated to produce the required inventories)
- Challenges attributing top down emission to sectors
- Recommendation: Use CMS working groups to develop best practices for engaging with stakeholders that can be communicated to new CMS projects